

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP  
MARTIN H. ORLICK (Bar No. 083908), MHO@jmbm.com  
MATTHEW S. KENEFICK (Bar No. 227298), MSK@jmbm.com  
Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3824  
Telephone: (415) 398-8080  
Facsimile: (415) 398-5584

Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO and CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO UPTOWN  
PARKING CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CRAIG YATES,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNION SQUARE; CITY AND COUNTY OF  
SAN FRANCISCO, CITY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO UPTOWN PARKING  
CORPORATION; EMPORIO RULLI IL  
CAFFE UNION SQ.; EMPORIO RULLI IL  
CAFFE UNION SQ., INC.; and DOES 1  
through 50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C074087 JSW

**(Proposed) ORDER RE: MOTION FOR THE  
COURT TO DECLINE SUPPLEMENTAL  
JURISDICTION OVER AND TO DISMISS  
PLAINTIFF'S STATE LAW CLAIMS [28  
U.S.C. § 1367(c)] AND TO STRIKE  
PLAINTIFF'S UNSUPPORTED AND  
IMPROPER DAMAGE PRAYERS FROM  
THE COMPLAINT [FRCP 12(f)]**

**Accompanying Papers:** Notice and Motion;  
Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Request  
for Judicial Notice

Date: January 18, 2008  
Time: 9:00 a.m.  
Dept: Crtrm. 17  
Judge: The Hon. Jeffrey S. White

Complaint filed: August 9, 2007  
Trial date: none set

The Motion of Defendants City and County of San Francisco ("CCSF") and City of  
San Francisco Uptown Parking Corporation ("Uptown") (collectively, "Moving Parties") for the  
Court to Decline Supplemental Jurisdiction Over and to Dismiss Plaintiff's State Law Claims [28  
U.S.C. § 1367(c)] and to Strike Plaintiff's Unsupported and Improper Damage Prayers from the  
Complaint [FRCP 12(f)] (the "Motion") came on regularly for hearing on January 18, 2008, at 9:00  
a.m., in Courtroom 17 of the above-referenced Court, located at 450 Golden Gate Ave., 16th Floor,

San Francisco, California. The Honorable Jeffrey S. White presided. All parties had sufficient notice.

This Court, having considered the pleadings and papers on file herein and the oral argument of the parties, hereby grants Moving Parties' Motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED as follows: Because of conflicting interpretation of California state disabled access law regarding the presence of intentional discrimination as a pre-requisite to statutory damages arising from the opinions of Gunther v. Lin, 144 Cal.App.4th 223 (4th Dist. 2006), Lentini v. California Center for the Arts, Escondido, 370 F.3d 837, 847 (9th Cir. 2004), and their respective progenies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c), this Court declines to extend supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims arising under California state law, which are framed as Plaintiff's "Fourth Cause of Action," "Fifth Cause of Action," "Sixth Cause of Action," "Seventh Cause of Action," "Eighth Cause of Action," and "Ninth Cause of Action" (collectively, the "State Law Claims"). Accordingly, this Court dismisses Plaintiff's State Law Claims.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED as follows: Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, 12(f), this Court hereby orders stricken the following portions of the Complaint as unsupported and improper damage prayers:

**Requests For Damages Under Title II Of The ADA:**

As a result of such discrimination, in violation of section 202 of the ADA, plaintiff is entitled to the remedies, procedures and rights set forth in section 505 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. §794a), as provided by section 203 of the ADA, including injunctive relief and damages for violation of his civil rights, as previously plead.

Complaint ¶ 24 p.11 line 27 through p.12 line 4.

Plaintiff requests appropriate damages for each of his complained experiences for the two year period preceding the filing of this complaint, as well as litigation expenses and costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by law.

Complaint ¶ 26, p.12 lines 10-14.

Award damages against the government entity defendants pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Complaint, Prayer for Relief, p.39 lines 15-17.

**Requests For Damages Under Section 504 Of The Rehabilitation Act:**

Plaintiff seeks damages for the ongoing and continuous denial of his Civil Rights from the date of his initial attempts to use the square, or the dates he was deterred (preceding back to two years before the filing of the original complaint) to the time of trial or remediation, and for physical, mental and emotional injury, all to his damages according to proof.

Complaint ¶ 32, p.14 lines 21-27

Award damages against all defendants pursuant to section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

Complaint, Prayer for Relief, p.39 lines 13-14.

**Requests For Attorneys' Fees And Litigation Costs Under California Code Of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5:**

...justifying "public interest" attorneys' fees pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5.

Complaint ¶ 47, p.22 lines 9-10.

Plaintiff further request that the court award statutory attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs to plaintiff pursuant to section 19953 Health & Safety Code, Civil Code section 55, and Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, all hereinafter prayed for.

Complaint ¶ 61, p.27 lines 1-5.

...justifying "public interest" attorneys' fees pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5.

Complaint ¶ 76, p.32 lines 26-28.

...plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees pursuant to section 1021.5 California Code of Civil Procedure...

Complaint ¶ 80, p.34 lines 6-7.

...justifying "public interest" attorneys' fees pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5.

Complaint ¶ 84, p.35 lines 15-17.

...plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees pursuant to section 1021.5 California Code of Civil Procedure...

Complaint ¶ 89, p.36 lines 19-20.

Award all costs of this proceeding and all reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs as provided by law, including but not limited to those recoverable pursuant to the provisions of section 54.3 and 55 Civil Code, section 1021.5 Code of Civil Procedure...

Complaint Prayer for Relief, p.39 lines 20-24.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE of the  
United States District Court

JMBM  
Jeffer Mangels  
Butler & Marmaro LLP